Pegi opened the meeting at 4:05 pm.

The minutes of May 13, 2008 were approved unanimously. (Joe/Rick)
It was suggested to add guests to list of those present. (Paul and Bob)

I. Construction Update:
   Doug gave a brief update on construction projects.
   - The Arts Education Classrooms opened three buildings this fall. The Theater and Music Hall are targeted to open in 2009.

   - Building 300 Remodel: Plans are currently at DSA. Will bid this summer.

   - Allied Health Buildings: Steel is currently going up, targeted to be completed in spring 2010.

   - Watsonville Industrial Technology Education Center (ITEC): Kasavan Architects have completed the initial schematic design with suggestions for what it will take to achieve various LEED levels. Construction, energy management, solar technology will be offered at the new facility.

   - Solar on Aptos campus: Cabrillo is now working with GroSolar and is assessing whether it is still a viable project. Soquel High School is the only other project in the county in progress at this time.

II. Space Planning:
Patty presented a third version of the space reallocation plan. Dave described the challenge is like trying to put 10 pounds in an 8 pound bag. There is no perfect solution, but the architects have come up with a flexible planning
instrument to help move forward without a major building remodel due to budget restrictions.

Below is a list of changes from Concept 3 that were presented at the last public forum:

1. Clarification of offices for BELA in buildings 450 and 500.
2. Use of (E) Bldg. 800 with walls as currently layout, noting that no funding at this time is identified to modify the building in the desired configuration CS/CIS/Engineering/Physics/MESA/PLC has in mind.
3. Student Health Services remains next to Gallery area under the Library with the Mail room and Staff room returned to their current location.
4. A "model classroom" area was provided near TLC with overflow space for HS/H Serve in room 1093.
5. A relocatable building could be relocated nearer to Bldg. 1100 for use by Dance as offices and storage. No new additions would be built to Bldg. 1100.
6. Locations of Digital Media and DSPS/CABT labs were switched.

Issues that continue to have concerns:

1. Utilizing all of Bldg. 800 for CS/CIS/Engineering/Physics/MESA/PLC vs. shared with DSPS and LS/LD.
2. Dance not getting appropriate and additional space closer to VAPA.
3. HS/H Serv not close enough to Allied Health and in spaces not normally used for classroom space.

Pegi explained that the process we are following is to review the plan with this group, then forward it to CPC, then to the Board.

Rick noted that as a group Engineering, ETECH, MESA, Physics, CS and CIS would like to have the entire building for various reasons, including fundraising. As a Faculty Senator, Rick supports the third concept as does CS and CIS. The square footage these programs have now is close to what CS and CIS would have in the new plan. MESA, Engineering, ETECH and Physics will present a letter to the Board requesting more space.

Gary noted that having Human Resources in the 900 building poses certain restrictions for student activities, such as having concerts during business hours. It does not make sense to have a non-student services function in that space.

Renee noted that Dance joined VAPA after the architectural plans for new complex were completed so couldn’t accommodate them. They had hoped to have a rehearsal space. Dan is working with the Dance faculty to identify space in VAPA they may use. There are also discussions about using the Tannery as a secondary space. It was noted that Health Science and the
Health Services cluster still had concerns about the adequacy of their space and their proximity to the new allied health complex. Renée concurred.

May noted that she will communicate the new plan to Student Services.

Karen asked about square footage of space being vacated. 60,000 square feet was vacated, but not everyone got more square footage, such as the Dance program. Patty noted that every attempt was made to give more space, never less, but sometimes space was approximately the same due to proximity, physical space and configuration, and affordability restrictions.

Dave noted that the state utilizes enrollment and square footage as measures. Since significant space was added with the new buildings, we are over what the state considers adequate. Performing Arts aren’t usually classroom space, and they look at that.

Renée noted that at program level, some programs didn’t get more space, but every division got more space.

Doug asked if the architects looked at the numbers at the state level. Yes, a lot of factors have been considered. Pegi noted that Cabrillo probably wouldn’t qualify for more classroom space but may qualify for renovation funds in the foreseeable future.

Pegi asked if the group wanted to meet again or move the plan forward to CPC. A motion was made to move the plan forward to CPC (Rick/Rock) and was carried. It was noted that issues remain, it is not a perfect plan, but has satisfied many needs. It will be carried to Board but there is more to work on.

Rick commended the architects on their work. No one on campus wanted to take this project on. Dave replied that the architects had great cooperation. Pegi noted that they are good listeners. Lena commented that it is quite obvious that they gave consideration to a lot of people’s needs. Mary commented that Patty deserves an award for getting Cabrillo acronyms quickly.

Patty will forward the new plan to be posted on website.

Lena asked what are the next steps. Pegi replied that Cabinet will work on that and bring it forward to the college community. The space to be first vacated will be looked at first.

III. FPAC Shared Governance Manual update: The committee reviewed the draft language for the manual update. It was suggested that Bob/IT should be added due to direct impacts on technology. Mary will be added to the distribution list to know when meetings take place.
Doug asked what role should the committee play with accreditation, scheduled maintenance, how will this committee evolve as bond projects wind down? How does the committee interact with the Technology Committee? Tatiana will forward the draft language to Paul and the committee will revisit the purpose statement in spring semester.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:59 pm.

Next meeting: A Friday morning in March or April, but not a CIP week. If a solar project proposal surfaces, will meet in January.